

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

REC'D 27 AUG 2004

PCT

WIPO

PCT

To:  
KENNETH K. VU  
22872 AVENIDA EMPRESA  
RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA 92688

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) <b>25 AUG 2004</b>	
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>P-2877-AL</b>	
<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. <b>PCT/US04/02862</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>02 February 2004 (02.02.2004)</b>
Priority date (day/month/year) <b>18 February 2003 (18.02.2003)</b>	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>IPC(7): A61B 17/04 and US Cl.: 606/157, 158</b>	
Applicant <b>APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES CORPORATION</b>	

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:**

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

**3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.**

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Gary Jackson <i>Sharon M. Greene for</i> Telephone No. (703) 308-0858
--	--

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/02862

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/US04/02862

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>38</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-11, 13, 18-19, 26-31, 37, 40-42</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>38</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-37 &amp; 39-42</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-42</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claim 38 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a surgical clip as recited in the claims having a suture through the handle portion for retrieving the clip.

Claims 14-17, 20-25, 32-36 and 39 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Perlin in view of Schmidt (US Patent 4,765,335). Concerning claim 14 it is well-known in the art to provide vessel clamps with elastomeric covering at the clamping portion to reduce damage to the vessel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Perlin with such coating (claim 14 and related claims). Concerning claim 17 and related claims, the traction is not the clip being claimed.

Claims 1-11, 13, 18-19, 26-31, 37, 40-42 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Perlin (US Patent 4,444,187). The patent to Perlin discloses each of the limitations in the claims as recited. Figure 1 of the reference discloses the best view of the prior art.